

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,858	11/26/2003	Prathyusha K. Salla	132958XX-A/YOD GEMS:0236	8733
7590 11/16/2006			EXAMINER	
Patrick S. Yoder Fletcher Yoder			MANUEL, GEORGE C	
P.O. Box 6922			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77269-2289			3762	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\sim$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Anti-co Oc	10/723,858	SALLA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	George Manuel	3762
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a risid will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	) May 2006.	·
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-20,33-52,65,66	and 68-70 is/are withdrawn f	rom consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-32, 53-64, 67, 71, 72</u> is/are reje	ected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		-
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		and the Alexander
2. Coning of the partition coning of the p		•
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn</li> </ol>	• •	received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	, ,,,	received
·	iot of the contined copies flot	Todali od.
	•	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 21-22, 24-32, 53-54, 56-64 and 71-72 are rejected under 35
   U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sano (U.S. Patent No. 5,701,897).

Sano teaches of an ultrasonic diagnosis apparatus and image displaying system for monitoring the movement of an organ comprising acquiring an electrocardiograph (13) which is electrical data representative of cardiac motion, an acceleration calculator (55) for acquiring non-electrical data representative of cardiac motion and an image system which can include data representative of respiratory motion (col. 7 lines 50-58). The system as taught by Sano is capable of detecting one or more periods of minimal motion (col. 2 lines 47-52).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,858 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

4. Claims 23 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sano (U.S. Patent No. 5,701,897) as applied to claims 21-22, 24-32, 53-54, 56-64 and 71-72 above.

Sano teaches that the system is capable of being applied to different types of organs (col. 38 lines 56-60), and thus it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system as taught by Sano to include data of one or more proximate organs. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the system as taught by Sano to have the limitations of claims 23 and 55.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/30/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's assertion that one or more sets of motion data representative of respiratory motion is lacking from the Sano reference is without merit. Sano clearly suggests motion data comprising an ultrasonic diagnosis apparatus in which velocities of movement of an organ in motion of an object, such as a cardiac muscle of a heart and a blood vessel wall, are obtained and displayed and if necessary, on the basis of the velocities, other physical values representing conditions of the movement are calculated and displayed. Respiration is a physical value representing the condition of an organ (lung or diaphragm) in motion and exhibiting a velocity of movement.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/723,858

Art Unit: 3762

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

#### Conclusion

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4952.

George Manuel Amary Examiner Art Unit: 3762